KEAN UNIVERSITY

SINGLE-YEAR EVALUATION AND RECOMMENDATION FORM FOR NON-TEACHING PROFESSIONAL STAFF (Page 1)

| Date: | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Name: | |
| Title: | |
| Date of Original Appointment:(Into the "U" Bargaining Unit) | |
| EDUCATION: | |
| 1) Degrees | |
| 2) Relevant Studies | |
| EXPERIENCE: (In chronological order, I | isting Kean University first). |
| 1) | |
| 2) | |
| 3) | |
| 4) | |
| CURRENT ASSIGNMENT: (Attach Job d | escription). |

KEAN UNIVERSITY

SINGLE-YEAR EVALUATION AND RECOMMENDATION FORM FOR NON-TEACHING PROFESSIONAL STAFF (Page 2)

Name of Candidate:

| Please Circle the appropriate rating for each categor must be justified in writing with specific examples an above and beyond satisfactory expectations or below documentation. | · · |
|--|---|
| | at explains the reason for the rating. A Performance provement and Unsatisfactory ratings (see attached |
| Comments must be limited to the time period for whis specific to the appropriate evaluation category. Con | |
| Effectiveness in specific duties. (e.g. dependabilit reliability, consistency, availability, confidentiality.) | |
| 2) Ability to work with students. (If applicable). | Above Satisfactory / Satisfactory Needs Improvement / Unsatisfactory |
| 3) Interpersonal skills; relationships with peers, supervisor and the University Community. (Includes written and verbal communication skills | Above Satisfactory / Satisfactory Needs Improvement / Unsatisfactory |
| 4) Organizational skills within specific job duties. (e.g. time management and follow-up) | Above Satisfactory / Satisfactory Needs Improvement / Unsatisfactory |
| 5) Evidence of professional growth related to the job | O. Above Satisfactory / Satisfactory Needs Improvement / Unsatisfactory |
| 6) Contributions over and above job fulfillment. (Positive dedication toward growth and development of the University). | Above Satisfactory / Satisfactory ent Needs Improvement / Unsatisfactory |
| 7) The supervisor has met with the candidate during contract period to discuss his/her job performance | , ' |
| 8) The supervisor has discussed the candidate's ab with students with a student representative. | ility to work Not Appropriate Yes No |

KEAN UNIVERSITY

SINGLE-YEAR EVALUATION AND RECOMMENDATION FORM FOR NON-TEACHING PROFESSIONAL STAFF (Page 3)

| ne of Candidate: | | |
|-------------------------------|------------|---|
| Reco | ommendatio | ons and Signatures: |
| | | () Recommended() Not recommended. |
| 1) Supervisor | | |
| Candidate | Date | () Please check only if you plan to appeal a non-recommendation. The appeal must be submitted in writing within five (5) working days to the next level of review. |
| | | () Recommended. () Not recommended. |
| 2) Director / Department Head | Date | |
| | | () Please check only if you plan to appeal a non- recommendation. The appeal must be submitted in writing within five (5) working days to the next level of |
| Candidate | Date | review. (The Candidate's signature is required for a non- recommendation) |
| | | () Recommended. () Not recommended. |
| 3) Dean (if applicable) | Date | |
| | | () Please check only if you plan to appeal a non- recommendation. The appeal must be submitted in writing within five (5) working days to the next level of |
| Candidate | Date | review. (The Candidate's signature is required for a non-recommendation) |
| | | () Recommended. () Not recommended. |
| 4) Divisional Vice President | Date | |
| | | () Please check only if you plan to appeal a non-recommendation. The appeal must be submitted in |
| Candidate | Date | writing within five (5) working days to the next level of review. (The Candidate's signature is required for a non-recommendation) |

^{*} It should be understood that in those circumstances where a particular supervisory level of review does not exist, the evaluation should move to the next level of review within the timeline.